

# Gazelle Elementary School

## School Accountability Report Card

### Reported Using Data from the 2015-16 School Year

#### Published During 2016-17

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## **About This School**

#### **Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)**

<b>School Contact Information</b>	
<b>School Name</b>	Gazelle Elementary School
<b>Street</b>	25305 Gazelle-Callahan Road
<b>City, State, Zip</b>	Gazelle, CA 96034
<b>Phone Number</b>	(530) 435-2321
<b>Principal</b>	Kassidy Salters
<b>E-mail Address</b>	ksalters@gazelle.k12.ca.us
<b>Web Site</b>	<a href="http://gazelleuesd.cyberschool.com/">http://gazelleuesd.cyberschool.com/</a>
<b>CDS Code</b>	47-70318-6050769

<b>District Contact Information</b>	
<b>District Name</b>	Gazelle Union Elementary School District
<b>Phone Number</b>	(530) 435-2321
<b>Superintendent</b>	Kassidy Salters
<b>E-mail Address</b>	ksalters@gazelle.k12.ca.us
<b>Web Site</b>	<a href="http://gazelleuesd.cyberschool.com/">http://gazelleuesd.cyberschool.com/</a>

### School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2016-17)

#### School Profile

Gazelle Elementary School is located in Gazelle, a rural community in Siskiyou County, California. Gazelle is between the communities of Grenada and Weed on Old Highway 99. Gazelle School has an enrollment of approximately 40 students in grades K - 8th.

Gazelle Elementary School feeds into the Yreka High School and Weed High School. Historically, Gazelle was a farming community, a rail station, and had a meat packing plant and mercantile which served a vast area. Today, the community is comprised of ranches, a post office, and the school. 73% of our students are inter-district transfers from the surrounding areas. Ethnically the student population is 70% White, 10.0% American Indian, 10.0% African American, 7.6% Hispanic/Latino, and 3.3% identifying with two or more ethnic groups. Approximately 3.3% students are identified as English Language learners and 80.0% are socioeconomically disadvantaged.

#### Mission Statement

Gazelle Elementary School, the school with a small school atmosphere, a strong academic, high tech, team oriented focus for every student.

#### Vision Statement

We believe each student can learn, has individual creativity, and holds great potential. We strive to provide students with a safe, supportive learning environment which provides opportunities for intellectual, social and personal growth.

#### Student Achievement

Gazelle School uses a variety of methods to assess student achievement. This "multiple measures" approach includes: results from standardized testing, classroom assessments, pre and post writing samples, portfolio projects, art and music performances, oral presentations and other teacher developed tests.

### Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2015-16)

<b>Grade Level</b>	<b>Number of Students</b>
Kindergarten	2
Grade 1	6
Grade 2	5
Grade 3	6
Grade 4	3
Grade 5	3
Grade 6	4
Grade 7	4
Grade 8	8
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>41</b>

### Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	4.9
American Indian or Alaska Native	17.1
Asian	0
Filipino	0
Hispanic or Latino	12.2
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0
White	58.5
Two or More Races	7.3
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	75.6
English Learners	0
Students with Disabilities	14.6
Foster Youth	2.4

## A. Conditions of Learning

### State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

### Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2016-17
With Full Credential	2	2	1	1
Without Full Credential	0	0	1	1
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0

### Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

**Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2015-16)**

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.0	0.0
All Schools in District	100.0	0.0
High-Poverty Schools in District	100.0	0.0
Low-Poverty Schools in District	0.0	0.0

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

**Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)**

Year and month in which data were collected: 09/2016

Gazelle school sets a high priority upon ensuring that sufficient and current textbooks and materials are available to support the school's instructional program as well as to provide students with their own textbooks. We follow the State Instructional Materials Adoption Cycle, a seven-year cycle for each content area. All students have a text or workbook they can take home as needed in the four core areas.

- Reading/Language Arts
- Mathematics
- Science
- History/Social Science

The school's library has numerous educational and recreational books that are available for students to check out. The Siskiyou County Office of Education AV department has a large collection of video and audiotapes for classroom use as well as CD and Video Disks. Computers in the classroom are connected to the internet so students are able to access resources and information.

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Yes	Yes	0
Mathematics	Yes	Yes	0
Science	Yes	Yes	0
History-Social Science	Yes	Yes	0
Foreign Language	NA		NA
Health	NA		NA
Visual and Performing Arts	N/A		NA
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	N/A		NA

**School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)**

The school, in general, and the classrooms are kept clean and in good repair. The bathroom facilities are kept clean and are in good repair. The outside of the school is also kept clean, neat, mowed and safe. The school as a whole is conducive to a positive climate for learning.

### School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 04/2016				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer		X		
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces	X			
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical	X			
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains		X		
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs		X		
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

### Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 04/2016				
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
			X	

## B. Pupil Outcomes

### State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

### CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students

Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
<b>English Language Arts/Literacy</b>	32	14	32	14	44	48
<b>Mathematics</b>	16	19	16	19	34	36

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group  
 Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
<b>All Students</b>	3	--	--	--	--
	4	--	--	--	--
	5	--	--	--	--
	6	--	--	--	--
	7	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--
<b>Male</b>	3	--	--	--	--
	4	--	--	--	--
	5	--	--	--	--
	6	--	--	--	--
	7	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--
<b>Female</b>	3	--	--	--	--
	4	--	--	--	--
	5	--	--	--	--
	6	--	--	--	--
	7	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--
<b>Black or African American</b>	7	--	--	--	--
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	5	--	--	--	--
	7	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	3	--	--	--	--
	4	--	--	--	--
	5	--	--	--	--
	6	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--
<b>White</b>	3	--	--	--	--
	4	--	--	--	--
	5	--	--	--	--
	6	--	--	--	--
	7	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--
<b>Two or More Races</b>	3	--	--	--	--
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	3	--	--	--	--
	4	--	--	--	--

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
	5	--	--	--	--
	6	--	--	--	--
	7	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--
Students with Disabilities	3	--	--	--	--
	7	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	3	--	--	--	--
	4	--	--	--	--
	5	--	--	--	--
	6	--	--	--	--
	7	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group  
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)**

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
All Students	3	--	--	--	--
	4	--	--	--	--
	5	--	--	--	--
	6	--	--	--	--
	7	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--
Male	3	--	--	--	--
	4	--	--	--	--
	5	--	--	--	--
	6	--	--	--	--
	7	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--
Female	3	--	--	--	--
	4	--	--	--	--
	5	--	--	--	--

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
	6	--	--	--	--
	7	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--
<b>Black or African American</b>	7	--	--	--	--
<b>American Indian or Alaska Native</b>	5	--	--	--	--
	7	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--
<b>Hispanic or Latino</b>	3	--	--	--	--
	4	--	--	--	--
	5	--	--	--	--
	6	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--
<b>White</b>	3	--	--	--	--
	4	--	--	--	--
	5	--	--	--	--
	6	--	--	--	--
	7	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--
<b>Two or More Races</b>	3	--	--	--	--
<b>Socioeconomically Disadvantaged</b>	3	--	--	--	--
	4	--	--	--	--
	5	--	--	--	--
	6	--	--	--	--
	7	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--
<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	3	--	--	--	--
	7	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--
<b>Foster Youth</b>	3	--	--	--	--
	4	--	--	--	--
	5	--	--	--	--
	6	--	--	--	--
	7	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.



Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

### CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
<b>Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)</b>	--	--	10	--	--	10	60	56	54

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

### CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group Grades Five, Eight, and Ten (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	# of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students Proficient or Advanced
<b>All Students</b>	12	10	83.3	10.0

Note: Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

### State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## C. Engagement

### State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

The Gazelle Elementary School has a well represented School Site Council (SIP). Its function is to make recommendations for the spending of school-based consolidated program expenditures, and to do actual planning on enrichment programs. These enrichment programs have included: Educational Ski Program, Adaptive Physical Education Program, Educational Field Trips and Special instructional equipment.

Parents of Gazelle Elementary School students also actively raise money each year to place in its sports fund account. In the past, this money has used for the purchase of sports uniforms etc. Fundraising events have included a yearly barbecue, dessert social, and other dinners etc.

The rural nature of our small community provides for a unique relationship between the Gazelle Grange and the school. The school uses the Grange facility on an annual basis for its competitive athletic program, Christmas Program, graduation, etc. The Grange has given student incentives for attendance and scholastic achievement.

Because of the close proximity to College of the Siskiyous the school is able to take advantage of many of the available cultural and educational opportunities. Students from Gazelle attend various theatrical performances, enroll available camps, attend summer activities.

Classroom volunteers are always needed for special projects/classroom. Approved Drivers for Activities. Library workers are welcome.

### State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

#### Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
<b>Suspensions</b>	2.0	0.0	2.1	2.0	0.0	2.1	4.4	3.8	3.7
<b>Expulsions</b>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

#### School Safety Plan (School Year 2016-17)

Safety Plan (approved 3/7/16) - Emergency Response Plan (approved 3/7/16) - Consumer Confidence Report

We have recently up-dated our locks on the classroom and cafeteria doors in order to help in a lock-down situation as requested by our Safety Committee. Regarding other emergency needs as specified in Ed. Code Section 17592.72 (c)(1) we have no gas leaks (checked daily), heating is all functioning, and lots of ventilation. Our school does not use a fire sprinkler system. We do have portable air conditioners in each classroom. There is no risk of electrical power failure that cannot be fixed in a short time by the power company and we have had no sewer stoppages. We have had no major pest or vermin infestations at Gazelle School. All windows are kept in good repair and non are broken and all exterior doors will lock. There is no security risk if they need to be closed. There is no hazardous materials that pose any threat to any pupil or staff member and the buildings are all kept in good repair.

### D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

#### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2016-17)

Indicator	School	District
<b>Program Improvement Status</b>		
<b>First Year of Program Improvement</b>		
<b>Year in Program Improvement*</b>		
<b>Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement</b>	N/A	0
<b>Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement</b>	N/A	.0

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

**Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)**

Grade Level	2013-14				2014-15				2015-16			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes		
		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+		1-20	21-32	33+
K	18	1			22		1		22		1	

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

**Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)**

Subject	2013-14				2014-15				2015-16			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English												
Mathematics												
Science												
Social Science												

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

**Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2015-16)**

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0	N/A
Psychologist	0.1	N/A
Social Worker	0	N/A
Nurse	0.1	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0	N/A
Resource Specialist	0.2	N/A
Other	0	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

\*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

**Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)**

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Supplemental/Restricted	Basic/Unrestricted	
School Site	\$459,605	\$2,625	\$7,185	\$36,978
District	N/A	N/A	\$7,185	\$39,693
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	0.0	-6.8
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,677	\$60,985
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	26.6	-39.4

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

## Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

In addition to general fund state funding, we receive state and federal categorical funding for special programs, which include the following categorical, special education, and support programs:

- SIP, (School Improvement program)
- EIA, (Economic Impact Aid)
- TUPE (Tobacco Use Prevention Education)
- Title II, Part A, Teacher Quality
- Title IV, Safe and Drug Free Schools and Communities
- Title VI, Part B, Small/Rural Grant
- Title VII, Class Size reduction
- Title II, Part D, Enhancing Education with Technology
- School Safety
- Title V, Part A, Innovation
- RSP Aide Reimbursement

## Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$37,693	\$41,085
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$43,438	\$59,415
Highest Teacher Salary	\$45,938	\$75,998
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)		\$100,438
Average Principal Salary (Middle)		\$101,868
Average Principal Salary (High)		
Superintendent Salary	\$88,800	\$116,069
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	16%	33%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	8%	7%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

## Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

Teachers, Aides, and Principal take part in Professional Development opportunities. A Staff Development Plan is in place. Opportunities for Reading, Math, Technology and Behavior management are on the schedule which is updated regularly.

Professional development is an intentional process that gives teachers the ability to analyze the effectiveness of what they do, reflected in current practices while making adaptations when things are not going well in the classroom. Teachers will continue to learn and improve, just as students do. Staff members build teaching skills by participating in conferences, courses, and workshops throughout the year and then sharing their experiences and knowledge with their colleagues. Training has included:

- Common Core State Standards
- ELL Training
- Writing
- Improving student achievement